

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/757,903		GUNSTREAM ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist		1797	

All Participants:

(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(2) Phil N. Makrogiannis.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changes to claim 12 to clearly bring in the ability to correct for variations at each well. An agreement was made and an examiner's amendment was authorized to make the change

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)